Timeline Rubric: Linking Events Chronologically

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **1 (0-5)** | **2 (6)** | **3 (7-8)** | **4 (9-10)** |
| Content (event details) | Many major events are excluded or are not properly explained. In other words, the event details are missing or are entirely inaccurate. | Some events are excluded and/or not properly explained. In other words, the event details are missing or not completed to an acceptable standard. | Most of the events are included and properly explained. In other words, most of the event details are included and are accurate. | All of the events and their motivations are included. Event details are accurate. |
| Content (motivations) | Motivations are not explained or are entirely inaccurate. | Many motivations are excluded or are not completed accurately. | Most of the motivations are included and accurate. | All of the motivations are completed and accurate. |
| Sequence of Content (Dates) | Most events are incorrectly placed on the timeline or chart. | Most (greater than 75%) events are placed in the correct order. | Almost all events are placed in the correct order. | All events are placed in the correct order. |
| Illustrations/Graphics | No graphics/illustrations provided. Or, graphics are not relevant. | Graphics/illustrations are provided for most of the events. Or, of those provided, the graphics are relevant. | Graphics/illustrations are provided for almost all events. Of those provide, the graphics are almost all relevant. | Graphics/illustrations are provided for all events. All of the graphics are relevant. |
| Sentence fluency | Events are not clearly described, or information is inaccurate. | Events are not described well or the language is often vague or inaccurate. | Events are described well, but language is often vague or inaccurate. | Events are clearly described using accurate and vivid language. |
| Effort (neatness, on time?) | Project was not handed in on time.  Work looks rushed. | Project was not handed in on time.  Work is generally complete. | Project was not handed in on time.  Work is neat and complete. | Project was handed in on time.  Work is creative, neat and complete. |
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